



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,481	01/27/2004	Satoshi Hiyama	010755.53179US	6283

23911 7590 04/02/2008  
CROWELL & MORING LLP  
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP  
P.O. BOX 14300  
WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300

EXAMINER
----------

MAIS, MARK A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2619

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

04/02/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/764,481	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HIYAMA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> MARK A. MAIS	<b>Art Unit</b> 2619	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 December 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)          | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Leung (USP 6,636,498).

3. With regard to claim 1, Leung discloses a mobile communication system wherein a mobile router moving with *a plurality of* mobile nodes performs location update of the mobile nodes on behalf of the mobile nodes, the mobile communication system comprising:

*a unit* for retaining a flag indicating whether the mobile router is active or not **[in the registration REQUEST, the D bit informs the home agent which entity is performing the decapsulation, col. 12, lines 17-18; interpreted as whether a mobile router is active or not]** ;

*a unit* for inquiring about routing address information for the mobile router based on the value of the retained flag when paging is performed to the mobile node **[in the registration REQUEST (the interpreted as an inquiry) the D bit is set to indicate whether the mobile router is in the home network (i.e., collocated care-of-address) or it is using a foreign agent's care-of-address, col. 12, lines 18-20];** and

*a unit* for performing paging to the mobile node using the obtained routing address information as a result of the inquiry **[the type field identifies the registration REPLY as a result of the registration REQUEST, col. 12, lines 9-10; interpreted as an the reply (result) to the inquiry].**

4. With regard to claim 2, Leung discloses *a unit* for setting the *first* flag to a value indicating an active state in response to a *first* signal sent from the mobile node and indicating start of communication **[in the registration REQUEST, the S bit is set to create a binding for a care-of-address, col. 12, lines 10-13; interpreted as an active state],** and

setting the *second* flag to a value indicating a dormant state in response to a *second* signal sent from the mobile node and indicating end of communication **[in the registration REQUEST, the S bit is set to delete a binding for a care-of-address, col. 12, lines 10-13; interpreted as a dormant state].**

5. With regard to claim 3, Leung discloses a mobile communication system wherein a mobile router *with a plurality of* moving with mobile nodes performs location update of the mobile nodes on behalf of the mobile nodes; the mobile communication system comprising:

a routing manager [**home agent**] comprising:

a table for storing routing address information for the mobile router [**home agent creates and deletes bindings for specified care-of addresses, col. 12, lines 10-13; using mobility binding table, col. 7, lines 31-34**] ; and

*a unit* for, when the routing address information for the mobile router in the table is updated, notifying the updated routing address information [**home agent creates and deletes bindings for specified care-of addresses, col. 12, lines 10-13; using mobility binding table, col. 7, lines 31-34; the creating/deleting of bindings is interpreted as the notification of the updates using registration REQUEST/REPLY**]; and

a location manager [**foreign agent; a second Foreign Agent will receive the FA care-of-address in response to a registration request (Fig. 3A)**] comprising:

a table for storing the routing address information notified by the routing manager as location area information for the mobile router [**Foreign Agent maps connectivity to mobile networks through the mobile router, col. 12, lines 58-59; using the visitor table, col. 12, lines 59-67**]; and

*a unit* for performing paging to the mobile node using the location area information stored in the table [**the type field identifies the registration REPLY as a result of the registration REQUEST, col. 12, lines 9-10; interpreted as an the reply (result) to the inquiry; thus, the subsequent transmitted packets (e.g., paging) can be decapsulated and forwarded (to the mobile station) by the Foreign Agent, col. 14, lines 30-40**].

Art Unit: 2619

6. With regard to claim 4, Leung discloses a location manager [**foreign agent**] wherein a mobile router moving with mobile nodes performs location update of the mobile nodes on behalf of the mobile nodes; the location *manager* comprising:

*a unit* for retaining a flag indicating whether the mobile router is active or not [**in the registration REQUEST, the D bit informs the home agent which entity is performing the decapsulation, col. 12, lines 17-18; interpreted as whether a mobile router is active or not**];

*a unit* for inquiring about routing address information for the mobile router based on the value of the retained flag when paging is performed to the mobile node [**in the registration REQUEST (the interpreted as an inquiry) the D bit is set to indicate whether the mobile router is in the home network (i.e., collocated care-of-address) or it is using a foreign agent's care-of-address, col. 12, lines 18-20**]; and

*a unit* for performing paging to the mobile node using the routing address information obtained as a result of the inquiry [**the type field identifies the registration REPLY as a result of the registration REQUEST, col. 12, lines 9-10; interpreted as an the reply (result) to the inquiry**].

7. With regard to claim 5, Leung means for setting the flag to a *first* value indicating an active state in response to a *first* signal sent from the mobile node and indicating *a* start of communication [**in the registration REQUEST, the S bit is set to create a binding for a care-of-address, col. 12, lines 10-13; interpreted as an active state**], and

setting the flag to a *second* value indicating a dormant state in response to a *second* signal sent from the mobile node and indicating end of communication [**in the registration**

**REQUEST, the S bit is set to delete a binding for a care-of-address, col. 12, lines 10-13; interpreted as a dormant state].**

8. With regard to claim 6, Leung discloses a location manager [**foreign agent**] wherein a mobile router moving with *a plurality of* mobile nodes performs location update of the mobile nodes on behalf of the mobile nodes, the location *manager* comprising:

a table for storing routing address information for the mobile router, which is notified whenever the routing address *information* is updated, as location area information for the mobile router [**Foreign Agent maps connectivity to mobile networks through the mobile router, col. 12, lines 58-59; using the visitor table, col. 12, lines 59-67**]; and

*a unit* for performing paging to *at least one of* the mobile *nodes* using the location area information stored in the table [**the type field identifies the registration REPLY as a result of the registration REQUEST, col. 12, lines 9-10; interpreted as an the reply (result) to the inquiry; thus, the subsequent transmitted packets (e.g., paging) can be decapsulated and forwarded (to the mobile station) by the Foreign Agent, col. 14, lines 30-40 ]**.

9. With regard to claim 7, Leung discloses a routing manager [**home agent**] used for a mobile communication system wherein a mobile router moving with *a plurality of* mobile nodes performs location update of the mobile nodes on behalf of the mobile nodes, the routing manager comprising:

a table for storing routing address information for the mobile router [**home agent creates and deletes bindings for specified care-of addresses, col. 12, lines 10-13; using mobility binding table, col. 7, lines 31-34**]; *and*

*a unit* for replying the routing address information stored in the table in response to an inquiry *from a location manager* [**foreign agent; a second Foreign Agent will receive the FA care-of-address in response to a registration request (Fig. 3A)**] about the routing address information for the mobile router [**home agent creates and deletes bindings for specified care-of addresses, col. 12, lines 10-13; using mobility binding table, col. 7, lines 31-34**; the creating/deleting of bindings is interpreted as the reply to the inquiry].

10. With regard to claim 8, Leung discloses a routing manager [**home agent**] used for a mobile communication system wherein a mobile router moving with *a plurality of* mobile nodes performs location update of the mobile nodes on behalf of the mobile nodes, the routing manager comprising:

a table for storing routing address information for the mobile router [**home agent creates and deletes bindings for specified care-of addresses, col. 12, lines 10-13; using mobility binding table, col. 7, lines 31-34**]; *and*

*a unit* for, when the routing address information for the mobile router in the table is updated, notifying the updated routing address information *to a location manager* [**foreign agent; a second Foreign Agent will receive the FA care-of-address in response to a registration request (Fig. 3A)**] [**home agent creates and deletes bindings for specified care-of addresses, col. 12, lines 10-13; using mobility binding table, col. 7, lines 31-34**; the



**creating/deleting of bindings is interpreted as the notification of the updates using registration REQUEST/REPLY].**

*Response to Arguments*

11. Applicants' arguments filed on December 20, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

12. With respect to claims 1, 3, 4 and 6, Applicants state that the claimed “unit” performs the paging to the mobile--that the mobile node is paged [See Applicants' Amendment dated December 20, 2007, page 7, paragraph 2]. Applicants argue, apparently, that a page must a signal to a mobile station which wakes it up [See Applicants' Amendment dated December 20, 2007, page 7, paragraph 2]. Moreover, Applicants argue that a laptop cannot receive a page because there is no distinction between dormant and in-communication states in a laptop [See Applicants' Amendment dated December 20, 2007, page 7, paragraph 2]. The examiner respectfully disagrees.

13. As noted in the rejection of claim 1 above, the type field identifies the registration REPLY as a result of the registration REQUEST [col. 12, lines 9-10; interpreted as the reply (result) to the inquiry]. If Applicants are arguing that the mobile station (a) must operate in a specific wireless network using a specific wireless protocol, or (b) that a specific paging signal can only

Art Unit: 2619

wake up a dormant mobile station [e.g., power saving feature in CDMA mobile stations], such a limitation is not in the claims. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the mobile station (a) must operate in a specific wireless network using a specific wireless protocol, or (b) that a specific paging signal can only wake up a dormant mobile station) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

14. Applicants state that the reply (result) is sent from a mobile router [**See Applicants' Amendment dated December 20, 2007, page 7, paragraph 3**]. Additionally, Applicants state that since the mobile router merely forwards pages from the Foreign Agent, that these pages cannot be interpreted as the Applicants' paging scheme [**See Applicants' Amendment dated December 20, 2007, page 7, paragraph 3 to page 8, paragraph 3**]. The examiner interprets this to mean that the mobile station (a) must operate in a specific wireless network using a specific wireless protocol, or (b) that a specific paging signal can only wake up a dormant mobile station [e.g., power saving feature in CDMA mobile stations]. The examiner respectfully disagrees.

15. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the mobile station (a) must operate in a specific wireless network using a specific wireless protocol, or (b)

Art Unit: 2619

that a specific paging signal can only wake up a dormant mobile station) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Moreover, the examiner notes the broadest reasonable interpretation of a page with respect to Applicants' Specification.

16. With respect to claims 7 and 8, Applicants state that amended claims 7 and 8 include a location manager [See Applicants' Amendment dated December 20, 2007, page 9, paragraph 1]. Applicants argue, apparently, that Leung et al. cannot have a location manager because the Foreign Agent [makes an inquiry!] does not request routing information from the Home Agent [See Applicants' Amendment dated December 20, 2007, page 9, paragraph 1]. The examiner respectfully disagrees.

17. As disclosed in the rejection of claim 7 above, Leung et al. discloses that a second Foreign Agent will receive the FA care-of-address in response to a registration request [Fig. 3A].

18. The examiner notes that the Abstract and the Specification have been amended.

***Conclusion***

19. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

(a) Narayanan et al. (USP 7,173,917), Unicast Agent Advertisement based on Layer 2 and Layer 3 motion detection.

20. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MARK A. MAIS whose telephone number is (571)272-3138. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 5am-4pm.

21. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wing F. Chan can be reached on 571-272-7493. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2619

22. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

March 30, 2008

/Mark A. Mais/  
Examiner, Group Art Unit 2619

/Wing F Chan/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2619  
3/31/08